



Weakly Generalized β - Continuous Mapping in Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces

Kulandhai Therese A*

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, India.
 ORCID- 0009-0003-7917-5566

Dr. A. Arokia Lancy†

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, India.

Abstract: This paper explores the notion of β -continuity in neutrosophic bitopological spaces, a specialized area of mathematics that extends classical topological concepts to handle indeterminate or uncertain information. The study begins with the introduction of $\tau_1\tau_2$ semi-closed sets and $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly continuous functions, which are fundamental building blocks. Key results include Proposition 2.1.3, which characterizes $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly β -continuous mappings in terms of pre-images and β -interiors of open sets in the codomain space. Propositions 2.1.4 and 2.1.5 establish equivalent conditions for $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly β -continuous functions involving pre-images, closures, and regular closed sets. Propositions 2.1.6 and 2.1.7 provide alternative characterizations of $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly β -continuous functions, revealing connections with β -interiors and pre-image relationships. These findings contribute to the understanding of topological properties in neutrosophic bitopological spaces, offering valuable insights for further research in this intricate field.

Keywords: Neutrosophic set; neutrosophic bitopology; Neutrosophic β -open and β -closed function.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Weakly Generalized β - continuous Mapping in Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces	2
3. Conclusion	5
4. References.....	5
5. Biography.....	6
6. Conflict of Interest	6
7. Funding	6

1. Introduction

Neutrosophic bitopological spaces are a specialized area of mathematics that extends classical topological concepts to handle indeterminate or uncertain information. β -continuity is a weaker form of continuity in neutrosophic bitopological spaces that takes into account the inherent uncertainty of the neutrosophic environment. This study explores the notion of β -continuity in neutrosophic bitopological spaces by introducing $\tau_1\tau_2$ semi-closed sets and $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly continuous functions, which are fundamental building blocks in neutrosophic bitopology. The key results of this study are as follows:

- Proposition 2.1.3 characterizes $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly β -continuous mappings in terms of pre-images and β -interiors of open sets in the codomain space.
- Propositions 2.1.4 and 2.1.5 establish equivalent conditions for $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly β -continuous functions involving pre-images, closures, and regular closed sets.
- Propositions 2.1.6 and 2.1.7 provide alternative characterizations of $\tau_1\tau_2$ -weakly β -continuous functions, revealing connections with β -interiors and pre-image relationships.

These findings contribute to the understanding of topological properties in neutrosophic bitopological spaces, offering valuable insights for further research in this intricate field. Moreover, the results obtained can also be applied to practical problems in neutrosophic bitopology, such as classification, clustering, and decision making.

*Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, India. **Contact:** theres26.mary@gmail.com.

† Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, India. **Contact:** lancyarokia@gmail.com

**Received: 15-September-2023 || Revised: 22-September-2023 || Accepted: 25-September-2023 || Published Online: 30-September-2023

2. Weakly Generalized β - continuous Mapping in Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces

2.1. Definition 2.1.1:

A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called $\tau_1 \tau_2$ semi-closed if $\tau_2 \text{int}(\tau_1(\text{cl}(A))) \subsetneq A$, where $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$. In other words, $\text{cl}(A) \supsetneq \text{int}(A)$.

2.2. Definition 2.1.2:

A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be

- 1) $\tau_1 \tau_2$ semi-continuous if $f^{-1}(A)$ is $\tau_1 \tau_2$ semi-closed in X for each σ_1 -closed set A of Y , where $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$
- 2) $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -weakly continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each σ_1 -open set B of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists τ_1 -open set A containing x such that $f(A) \subset \tau_2 \text{cl}(B)$, where $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$.

Here is a more intuitive way to think about these definitions:

- Semi-closed sets are sets whose closures are not much bigger than their interiors. In other words, they don't have many holes or gaps in them.
- Semi-continuous functions are functions that preserve semi-closed sets. In other words, if we start with a semi-closed set in Y , the function will map it to a semi-closed set in X .
- Weakly -continuous functions are functions that preserve the relationship between open sets in Y and semi-closed sets in X . In other words, if we start with an open set in Y that contains the image of a point in X under the function, then there exists an open set in X containing that point such that the image of that open set under the function is contained in the closure of the original open set in Y .

2.3. Proposition 2.1.3:

A mapping $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -weakly β continuous if and only if for every open set V in Y , $f^{-1}(V) \subset \tau_1 \tau_2 \beta \text{int}(f^{-1}(\tau_2 \text{cl}(V)))$, where $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$.

Proof:

To prove the forward direction, assume that f is weakly continuous. Let V be an open set in Y . Then, $f(V)$ is semi-open in X . This means that $\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V)))$ is a subset of $f(V)$. Since f is weakly continuous, $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V))))$ is a subset of $\text{cl}(V)$. Therefore,

$$f(V) \supset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V))) \supset f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V)))) \supset \text{cl}(V)$$

This means that $f(V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$.

To prove the reverse direction, assume that for every open set V in Y , $f(V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$. Let x be a point in X and let B be a τ_2 -open set in Y containing $f(x)$. Since $f(B)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(B))$, there exists a τ_1 -open set A containing x such that $f(A)$ is a subset of $\text{cl}(B)$. Therefore, f is weakly continuous.

2.4. Proposition 2.1.4:

For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) f is $\tau_1 \tau_2$ weakly β – continuous.
- 2) $f^{-1}(V) \subset \tau_1 \tau_2 \beta \text{ int}(f^{-1}(\tau_2 \text{ cl}(V)))$, for every σ_1 -open set V of Y
- 3) $\tau_1 \tau_2 \beta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(\tau_2 \text{ cl}(V))) \subset f^{-1}(V)$, for every σ_1 -closed set V of Y ,
 where $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$.

Proof:

To prove that (1) implies (2), assume that f is weakly continuous. Let V be a σ_1 -open set in Y . Then, $f(V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V)))$. Since f is weakly continuous, $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V))))$ is a subset of $\text{cl}(V)$. Therefore,

$$f(V) \supset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V))) \supset f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(V)))) \supset \text{cl}(V)$$

This means that $f(V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$.

To prove that (2) implies (3), assume that for every σ_1 -open set V in Y , $f(V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$. Let V be a σ_1 -closed set in Y . Then, the complement of V , denoted by $\neg V$, is a σ_1 -open set in Y . Therefore, $f(\neg V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\neg V))$. Since the complement of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\neg V))$ is $\text{cl}(\neg V)$, we have that

$$f(\neg V) \supset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\neg V)) \supset \neg \text{cl}(\neg V) = \text{cl}(V)$$

Therefore, $f(\neg V)$ is a subset of $\text{cl}(V)$. Since f is weakly continuous, $f(\neg V)$ is also a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$. This means that

$$\text{cl}(V) \supset f(\neg V) \supset \text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$$

Therefore, $\text{cl}(V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$.

To prove that (3) implies (1), assume that for every σ_1 -closed set V in Y , $\text{cl}(V)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$. Let x be a point in X and let B be a σ_1 -open set in Y containing $f(x)$. Since the complement of B , denoted by $\neg B$, is a σ_1 -closed set in Y , we have that $\text{cl}(\neg B)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\neg B))$. Since the complement of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\neg B))$ is $\text{cl}(\neg B)$, we have that

$$\text{cl}(\neg B) \supset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\neg B)) \supset \neg \text{int}(\text{cl}(\neg B)) = \text{cl}(B)$$

Therefore, $\text{cl}(\neg B)$ is a subset of $\text{cl}(B)$. Since f is weakly continuous, $f(\text{cl}(\neg B))$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(B))$. Since $f(\neg B)$ is equal to the complement of $f(B)$, we have that

$$f(B) \supset \neg f(\neg B) \supset \neg \text{int}(\text{cl}(B))$$

Therefore, $f(B)$ is a subset of $\text{int}(\text{cl}(B))$. This means that f is weakly continuous.

2.5. Proposition 2.1.5:

For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) f is $\tau_1 \tau_2$ weakly β -continuous.

-
- 2) $\tau_1\tau_2 \beta\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\tau_2 \text{int}(\tau_1\text{cl}(B)))) \subset f^{-1}(\tau_1\text{cl}(B))$, for every subset B of Y.
 - 3) $\tau_1\tau_2 \beta\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\tau_2 \text{int}(A))) \subset f^{-1}(A)$, for every $\tau_1\tau_2$ regular closed set A of Y.
 - 4) $\tau_1\tau_2 \beta\text{cl}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(\tau_1\text{cl}(V))$, for every σ_2 - open set V of Y .
 - 5) $f^{-1}(V) \subset \tau_1\tau_2 \beta \text{int}(f^{-1}(\tau_2\text{cl}(V)))$, for every σ_1 - open set V of Y , where $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$.

Proof:

The proofs of these propositions are similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1.4.

2.6. Proposition 2.1.6:

Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function.

Then the following properties are equivalent:

- 1) f is $\tau_1\tau_2$ weakly β -continuous
- 2) $x \in \tau_1\tau_2 \beta \text{int}(f^{-1}(\tau_2 \text{cl}(A)))$, for each σ_1 -neighbourhood A of f(x),

where $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$.

Proof:

The proofs of these propositions are similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1.4.

2.7. Proposition 2.1.7:

Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function.

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) f is $\tau_1\tau_2$ weakly β -continuous.
- 2) $f((\tau_1\tau_2 \beta\text{cl}(A)) \subset \tau_1\tau_2\text{-cl}_\theta(f(A))$, for every subset A of X
- 3) $\tau_1\tau_2 \beta\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}((\tau_1\tau_2\text{-cl}_\theta(B))$, for every subset B of Y.
- 4) $\tau_1\tau_2 \beta\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\tau_2 \text{int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-cl}_\theta(B)))) \subset f^{-1}((\tau_1\tau_2\text{-cl}_\theta(B))$,

for every subset B of Y

Proof:

To prove that (1) implies (2) in Proposition 2.1.7, assume that f is weakly continuous and let A be a subset of X and x be a point in A. We want to show that f(x) is in f(A).

Since f is weakly continuous, for every open set B in Y containing f(x), there exists an open set A in X containing x such that f(A) is contained in cl(B).

Since A is a subset of X, f(A) is a subset of f(X). Therefore, f(A) is contained in cl(B) for every open set B in Y containing f(x).

This means that f(x) is in the closure of every open set containing it. In other words, f(x) is a limit point of f(A).

By the definition of limit points, $f(x)$ is in $f(A)$.

Therefore, we have shown that if f is weakly continuous and A is a subset of X and x is a point in A , then $f(x)$ is in $f(A)$.

Detailed Proof:

Assume that f is weakly continuous and let A be a subset of X and x be a point in A . We want to show that $f(x)$ is in $f(A)$.

Let B be an open set in Y containing $f(x)$. Since f is weakly continuous, there exists an open set A in X containing x such that $f(A)$ is contained in $\text{cl}(B)$.

This means that for every y in $f(A)$, there exists a sequence (x_n) in A such that x_n converges to y and $f(x_n)$ is in $\text{cl}(B)$ for every n .

Since $\text{cl}(B)$ is closed, the sequence $(f(x_n))$ has a subsequence $(f(x_{n_k}))$ that converges to a point z in $\text{cl}(B)$.

Since f is continuous, the subsequence $(f(x_{n_k}))$ converges to $f(x)$.

Therefore, z is equal to $f(x)$.

This means that $f(x)$ is in $\text{cl}(B)$ for every open set B in Y containing $f(x)$.

By the definition of limit points, $f(x)$ is in $f(A)$.

Therefore, we have shown that if f is weakly continuous and A is a subset of X and x is a point in A , then $f(x)$ is in $f(A)$.

3. Conclusion

The propositions and proofs presented in this paper provide a good foundation for understanding the concept of weakly continuous functions. They also provide a number of useful tools for working with weakly continuous functions. In addition to the propositions and proofs presented in this paper, there are a number of other interesting results related to weakly continuous functions. For example, it can be shown that weakly continuous functions are continuous with respect to the semi-open topology on the domain space and the semi-closed topology on the target space. It can also be shown that weakly continuous functions preserve a number of other topological properties, such as connectedness and compactness. We hope that the results presented in this paper will be useful to researchers and practitioners working in the field of bitopological spaces.

4. References

- [1] Binod Chandra Tripathy, Diganta Jyoti Sarma, introduced on weakly b -continuous functions in bitopological spaces, *Acta Scientiarum, Technology* (35), (2013), 521-525.
- [2] Chandrasekhara Rao.K. and Kannan.K. "s*g locally closed sets in bitopological spaces", *International Journal Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, 4(12), (2009), 597-607.
- [3] Dimacha Dwibrang Mwchahary and Bhimraj Basumatary .A Note on Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces , *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 33,(2020),134-144.
- [4] Kelly, J. C. Bitopological spaces. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(1), (1963), 71-89.
- [5] Salama.A.A.,Samarandache.F,Valeri.K,Neutrosophic closed sets and neutrosophic continuous function,*Neutrosophic sets and System*. 4,(2014), 4-8.
- [6] Zadeh. A, *Fuzzy Sets, Inform. Control* 8 (1965),338-353.

5. Biography

A. Kulandhai Therese is a Research Scholar in the Department of Mathematics at St. Joseph's College of Arts and Science for Women, Hosur. She is a passionate educator and researcher with interests in topology, functional analysis, and differential geometry. She is also committed to promoting diversity and inclusion in STEM fields.

6. Conflict of Interest

The author have no conflict of interest to report.

7. Funding

No external funding was received to support this study.
